Complete if Known

Unknown

Unknown

May 2, 2006

Hiroyuki MORI

Substitute for Form 1449 A & B/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(use as many sheets as necessary)				Art Unit	Unknown	
				Examiner Name	Unknown	
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	Q94456	

Application Number Confirmation Number

First Named Inventor

Filing Date

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Y	Cite No.	Document	Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	
Examiner Initials*		Number	Kind Code ² (if known)		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Documen
		US			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Foreign Patent Document			Publication Date	Name of Patentee or	
		Country Code ³	Number ⁴	Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Translation ⁶
		JP	8-302080	Α	11/19/1996	Fukuvi Chemical Industry	i i
		JP	8-81584	Α	03/26/1996	Mizusawa Industrial Chemicals, Ltd.	
		JP	8-113828	A	05/07/1996	Nippon Ester. Kabushiki Kaisha	
- 1							

		NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No.1	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city, and/or country where published.	Translation ⁶
-			

		
	∤	
Examiner Signature	Date Considered	
Litatinite Signature		

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov, MPEP 901.04 or follow the hyperlink from the title of the document to the intranet. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST. 3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ³Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to indicate here if English language Translation is attached.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/016779

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER Int.Cl⁷ B29B9/12, C08L23/00, C08J3/12, D01F1/10 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) Int.Cl⁷ B29B9/12, C08L23/00, C08J3/12, D01F1/10 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched 1926-1996 Toroku Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1994-2005 Jitsuyo Shinan Koho 1971-2005 Jitsuyo Shinan Toroku Koho 1996-2005 Kokai Jitsuyo Shinan Koho Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used) C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Relevant to claim No. Category* JP 8-302080 A (Fukuvi Chemical Industry 5,6 Y Α Co., Ltd.), 1 - 419 November, 1996 (19.11.96), Claims; Par. Nos. [0016] to [0023] (Family: none) 5,6 JP 8-81584 A (Mizusawa Industrial Y Chemicals, Ltd.), 26 March, 1996 (26.03.96), Claims; Par. Nos. [0083] to [0087] (Family: none) JP 8-113828 A (Nippon Ester Kabushiki Kaisha), 6 Y 07 May, 1996 (07.05.96), Claims; Par. No. [0006]; examples 1 - 4(Family: none) Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive earlier application or patent but published on or after the international "E" step when the document is taken alone document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be special reason (as specified) considered to involve an inventive step when the document is document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the "&" document member of the same patent family priority date claimed Date of mailing of the international search report Date of the actual completion of the international search 15 February, 2005 (15.02.05) 28 January, 2005 (28.01.05) Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/ Japanese Patent Office

Telephone No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/016779

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons: 1. Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: The technical feature of the pellet of a two-layer structure for an insect control resin composition of claims 1-4 resides in that the pellet has a core-sheath structure to thereby prevent the insect control compound from bleeding to the pellet surface and retain satisfactory suitability for storage, etc. On the other hand, the insect control resin composition of claim 5 is merely a composition containing a specific proportion of a specific olefin resin composition and has no technical feature corresponding to the technical feature of claims 1-4. There is hence no technical relationship between the subject matter of claim 5 and the subject matter of claims 1-4 which involves (continued to extra sheet) 1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. 2. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee. 3. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
 4. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: Remark on Protest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest. No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

From DOT/ICA/010 (auton choot) (Innues 2004)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/016779

Continuation of Box No.III of continuation of first sheet (2)

one or more identical or corresponding special technical features. The insect control fibers of claim 6 are ones formed by melt-spinning the resin composition of claim 5 and, like the subject matter of claim 5, have no technical feature corresponding to the technical feature of claims 1-4. There is hence no technical relationship between the subject matter of claim 6 and the subject matter of claims 1-4 which involves one or more identical or corresponding special technical features. Therefore, the subject matter of claims 1-4, that of claim 5, and that of claim 6 are not considered to be so linked as to form a single general inventive concept.